1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DEMIANTRA M. CLAY, No. CIV S-04-2367-DFL-CMK-P 12 Petitioner, 13 VS. ORDER MIKE KNOWLES, et al., 14 15 Respondents. 16 17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). 20 On December 13, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 21 herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any 22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Petitioner has 23 filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 26 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by

1	proper analysis.	
2	Acco	ordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
3	1.	The findings and recommendations filed December 13, 2005, are adopted
4	in full;	
5	2.	Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and
6	3.	The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.
7	Dated: August 9, 2006	
8		
9		(Dav.) 7. (80.
10		DAVID F. LEVI
11		United States District Judge
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
26		
20	Í	